Radiocarbon dating of thin pedogenic carbonate laminae from Holocene archaeological sites
K. Pustovoytov, K. Schmidt and H. Parzinger
The Holocene 2007 17: 835
DOI: 10.1177/0959683607080524

The online version of this article can be found at:
http://hol.sagepub.com/content/17/6/835
Radiocarbon dating of thin pedogenic carbonate laminae from Holocene archaeological sites

K. Pustovoytov, K. Schmidt and H. Parzinger

(Institute of Soil Science and Land Evaluation, University of Hohenheim, Emil-Wolff-Strasse 27, 70599 Stuttgart, Germany; German Archaeological Institute, Podbielskiallee 69–71, 14195 Berlin, Germany)

Received 4 August 2006; revised manuscript accepted 6 March 2007

Abstract: Radiocarbon dating has been previously suggested to be applicable to pedogenic carbonate. However, until now the validity of radiocarbon dates from pedogenic carbonate remained poorly understood because of the relatively low resolution of chronological controls. In this study we compared the radiocarbon dates (a total of 21) of thin (0.2–0.3 mm in thickness) laminae of pedogenic carbonate coatings on clasts from Holocene archaeological sites in the eastern Mediterranean and south Siberia with independently estimated age-ranges for these sites. We obtained a high correlation between the oldest laminae of coatings with the site ages. The 

Introduction

Pedogenic (soil-formed) carbonate commonly occurs in soils and palaeosols of arid regions. There are several reasons why pedogenic carbonate is of interest to Earth sciences. First, it can serve as a palaeoenvironmental indicator in arid regions where other proxy records are limited or unavailable (Cerling, 1984; Amundson et al., 1989, 1996; Cerling et al., 1989; Cerling and Quade, 1993; Quade and Cerling, 1995; Wang et al., 1996, 1997, 2000; Monger et al., 1998; Buck and Monger, 1999; Deutz et al., 2001; Khokhlova et al., 2004). Second, it represents a considerable sink of carbon in terrestrial ecosystems (Adams and Post, 1999; Lal and Kimble, 2000; Landi et al., 2003). Third, it provides a record of past atmospheric CO2 concentrations (Cerling, 1992; Royer et al., 2001 and references therein). Fourth, it can contribute to solving geochronological problems. Dating techniques applicable to pedogenic carbonate include the radiocarbon method (Bowler and Polach, 1971; Williams and Polach, 1971; Chen and Polach, 1986; Amundson et al., 1989, 1994; Courty et al., 1994; Wang et al., 1996; Pustovoytov, 1998; Monger et al., 1998; Buck and Monger, 1999; Deutz et al., 2001), U/Th (Ku et al., 1979; Sharp et al., 2003; Candy et al., 2004, 2005; Durand et al., 2007) and luminescence (Singhvi et al., 1996) dating, as well as measuring thickness of secondary carbonate coatings on clasts (Vincent et al., 1994; Pustovoytov, 2003; Amoroso, 2006). Although of these three methods radiocarbon dating provided the majority of numerical data, the validity of 14C ages of soil carbonates remains poorly known. In this paper we present a comparison of radiocarbon dates from pedogenic carbonate coatings on clasts with the ages of related archaeological sites, which suggests that pedogenic carbonate – despite potential complicating factors – can be dated more accurately with 14C than has been generally appreciated.
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14C dating of pedogenic carbonate: uncertainties and the study goal

Pedogenic carbonate forms in equilibrium with soil CO2 in terms of carbon isotopes (Cerling, 1984; Cerling et al., 1989). In theory this implies that the 14C content in inorganic carbon of secondary carbonate accumulations in soils should approximate the atmospheric 14CO2 level at the time of the secondary carbonate formation, and therefore be a substrate suitable for radiocarbon dating (Amundson et al., 1994). However, in practice this assumption is difficult to directly verify because of several potential problems.

(1) The 14C content at the moment carbonate crystallizes in a soil may be lower than that in the atmosphere and result in radiocarbon ages that are too old. Before the late 1980s this had been attributed to the ‘limestone-dilution effect’ (Williams and
After the development of the diffusion-reaction model (Cerling, 1984) alternative explanations were preferred, such as respiration of 14C-depleted CO₂ by micro-organisms utilizing old fractions of soil organic matter (Wang et al., 1994) or mechanical admixtures of primary limestone particles (Amundson et al., 1989; Monger et al., 1998).

(2) The accumulation of soil carbonate may proceed non-linearly in time, especially in soils that experienced periods of dramatic climatic change, such as the Pleistocene–Holocene transition. This was possibly one of the reasons why the measured 14C ages of pedogenic carbonate coatings deviated from their modelled ages (Amundson et al., 1994; Wang et al., 1996).

(3) Pedogenic carbonate may represent a non-closed system if it undergoes diagenetic alteration and re-equilibration in soils and paleosols (Pendall et al., 1994; Budd et al., 2002; Pustovoytov and Leisten, 2002; Kuzyakov et al., 2006) or if carbonate precipitation continues at the clast-coating contact (Brock and Buck, 2006).

These potential problems suggest that an independent chronological control is required to test the validity of radiocarbon measurements on pedogenic carbonate. As references, researchers use, as a rule, absolute-age estimations for relief forms or parent materials, which are of relatively low chronological resolution (Bowler and Polach, 1971; Williams and Polach, 1971; Chen and Polach, 1986; Amundson et al., 1989, 1994; Wang et al., 1996; Monger et al., 1998; Buck and Monger, 1999; Deutz et al., 2001).

The goal of this study was to test the validity of 14C dates from pedogenic carbonate by enhancing the resolution of chronological control. We applied the radiocarbon method to secondary carbonate coatings on clasts from well-preserved Holocene archaeological contexts. To reduce the uncertainty connected with non-linear coatings growth, thin laminae of the coatings were sampled and dated.

**Materials and methods**

We collected pedogenic carbonate coatings on solid clasts from archaeological sites in the eastern Mediterranean and in southern Siberia (Figure 1). Climatic conditions in both study regions were semi-arid but had pronounced differences in the mean annual temperatures (below). At both Mediterranean sites the Mesopotamian steppe vegetation type (Artemisietea herbae-albae mesopotamica) prevailed (Zohary, 1973), whereas the sites in Siberia were covered by steppe plant associations dominated primarily by Stipa capillata, Artemisia frigida and Carex duriuscula (Koroleva, 1976). In selecting the study objects particular attention was given to finding essentially intact archaeological contexts, most of which were well-preserved stone constructions. Samples were taken at the following sites (coordinates, mean annual temperature (MAT), and mean annual precipitation (MAP) (Alex, 1985; retrieved 27 May 2007 from http://worldclimate.com) are given in parentheses).

**Göbekli Tepe** (37°13′N, 38°55′E, MAT 18°C, MAP 447 mm): a monumental Pre-Pottery Neolithic (PPN) site in southeastern Turkey, representing a complex of buildings consisting of stone walls and megalithic elements, the so-called T-shaped pillars (Schmidt, 2001, 2003). The function of the architectural constructions was presumably exclusively ritual; no signs of a settlement have been identified at the site. Immediately on their use the buildings were artificially covered with a mixture of stones and fine earth (Schmidt, 2002).

Chronologically, the PPN period consists of two main parts, PPNA and PPNB, the latter of which is broken down further into the early (EPPNB), middle (MPPNB) and late (LPPNB) phases (Aurenche et al., 2001).

Two building phases have been found at the site, a PPNA/EPPNB and a MPPNB (Schmidt, 2001), corresponding to approximately 11,750–10,250 and 10,250–9,450 cal. yr BP, respectively, in terms of numerical chronology (Aurenche et al., 2001). A post-MPPNB and a
Figure 2  Pedogenic carbonate coatings on stones from two archaeological sites – Göbekli Tepe (a–d) and Arzhan-II (e–h). (a) General view of the excavated area at Göbekli Tepe; stone constructions with megalithic pillars are visible in the foreground; note dark pigmented Ah horizons in situ which can be seen on the vertical walls of excavation trenches; in a local depression (to the right of the picture centre) there are redepited colluvial derivatives of Ah horizons; arrow indicates the position of one of the stone wall contexts studied. (b) A stone wall, location of which is shown in (a); scale length on the upper stone raw 24 cm; prior to excavation the soil surface was about 20–30 cm above the uppermost boundary of the wall. (c) A boulder marked by framework in (b); pronounced pedogenic carbonate accumulations cover the stone underside (arrow); scale in centimetres. (d) A cross-section of the pedogenic carbonate coating shown by arrow in (c); the white lines indicate the laminae sampled for $^{14}$C dating; radiocarbon ages are given uncalibrated in years BP; ls, limestone; pc, pedogenic carbonate; scale in millimetres. (e) Overview of the excavated area at Arzhan-II; arrow indicates the profile studied. (f) Soil profile position of which is shown in (e); an Ah horizon with strongly developed root systems is distinctly seen in the upper part of the profile, immediately below there is a Bk horizon with secondary carbonate on the stone undersides; dotted line shows the buried soil surface; arrow points to the sampling location of one of the pebbles studied; a total thickness of the sandstone-plate layer is about 1.2 m. (g) Pebble taken a from the soil profile as indicated by arrow in (f); the stone underside is covered with a pronounced pedogenic carbonate coating; scale in millimetres. (h) A cross-section of the pebble shown in (g); the white line marks the lamina sampled for $^{14}$C dating; $^{14}$C age is given uncalibrated in years BP; ss, sandstone; pc, pedogenic carbonate; scale in millimetres.
post-LPPNB context of unknown age have also been identified (Schmidt, 2003).

The soils at the site surface show a pronounced continuous Bk (c. 40–150 cm below the surface) horizon with secondary carbonate pendants on the undersides of stones of architectural structures, pillars and the stones of the fill. Five coating samples were collected from the PPNA/EPPNB constructions, two from the MPPNB constructions, one from a post-MPPNB wall and one from a stone of the post-LPPNB context (Pustovoytov et al., 2007). A higher number of samples of the oldest coatings were taken to clarify the degree of representation of 14C ages yielded from coatings on limestone with our sampling procedure. Carbonate coatings on the stone tops rarely occurred at the site. The position of coatings on the upper sides of stones suggested that they formed before the stones were used as building material. One such sample was collected from approximately the same depth as the majority of coatings on the undersides taken for dating.

Sukhanikha (53°52′N, 91°28′E, MAT 0.5°C, MAP 326 mm): a barrow of Afanas’ev’s culture off Minusinsk, south Siberia. The central burial was surrounded by a stone wall ring (Leon’tjev et al., 1996), which served as parent material to the soil examined. The secondary carbonate accumulations on stones were identified between 20 and at least 60 cm (pit bottom) below the soil surface. The chronology of the Afanas’ev’s culture, established on the basis of over 20 radiocarbon dates on wood, bone and charcoal, is constrained to about the end of the fourth to the beginning of the second millennia cal. BC (Vadetskaya, 1986; Görsdorf et al., 2001). Tell Mozan (37°4′N, 40°59′E, MAT 18.8°C, MAP 430 mm): a Bronze Age site in northern Syria. This is the only site in this study where pottery shards, covered by pedogenic carbonate, rather than stone construction were examined. The site represented a city during the early to late Bronze Age (Päßlärzer, 1998). Two samples of pedogenic carbonate on the undersides of pottery shards were collected from the Bk horizon, lying 30–120 cm below the surface of soil developed in a redeposited cultural layer. On the basis of ceramic typology the age of the shards is younger than c. 4700 cal. BP (P. Päßlärzer, personal communication, 2006).

Arzhan-II (52°03′N, 93°35′E, MAT –5.6°C, MAP 303 mm): a barrow from the early Scythian epoch (Tagar-culture) in Tuva, southern Siberia. The soil studied was developed on the stone construction that was stacked up over a burial and consisted of several layers of sandstone plates with a total height of c. 1.2 m (Figure 2c, f). The depth interval of the Bk horizon was 20–130 cm below the soil surface. Radiocarbon dates on wood, bone and charcoal, combined with dendrochronological estimations from larch stems excavated from the burial, suggest that the burial took place in the seventh century cal. BC (Zaitseva et al., 2004).

Bratsky Most (53°39′N, 91°33′E, MAT 0.5°C, MAP 326 mm): a barrow of the Chaadas culture in Abakan, southern Siberia. As in Sukhanikha and Arzhan, the soil formation occurred at the surface of a stack of stones overlying the burial. The Bk horizon was located below 20 cm of the soil surface and was observed down to the bottom of the excavation (c. 0.6 m below the soil surface). The chronology of the Chaadas-culture is restricted essentially to the ninth to tenth centuries cal. AD (Azbelev, 1994). The barrow at Bratsky Most came into being probably in the tenth century cal. AD (Grachev, 2003; personal communication, 2005).

Prior to collecting secondary carbonate coatings, the integrity of architectural structures was checked. Locally disturbed or problematic contexts were avoided. The soils at the surface of the sites were inspected regarding the degree of development of soil features in situ, such as Ah and Bk horizons (Birkeland, 1999). Soil profiles that were obviously eroded were not taken into consideration. Pedogenic carbonate coatings covered the undersides of stones and occurred with variations within 30 to 150 cm below the soil surface. Since the coating thickness varies considerably throughout a soil profile (Vincent et al., 1994; Amoroso, 2006), its vertical distribution was examined. In every case the coatings from the zones of Bk horizons where their thickness was regularly at its maximum, were collected. Exceptionally thick coatings, which were likely to have begun their formation prior to their use as building material, were rejected.

The collected stone fragments with coatings were sawn with a diamond saw into a series of slabs 3–5 mm in width. The oldest (innermost) laminae were drilled out from the coating cross-sections with a goldsmith drill under continuous control with a binocular microscope. In sampling the oldest thin laminae from limestone fragments, particular attention was given to avoid admixtures of the limestone to the sample to be dated. Fortunately, the limestone was distinctly lighter in colour than the pedogenic carbonate coatings (Figure 2a). In some rare cases the boundary between the oldest coating laminae and the limestone was not sharp, and those laminae species were not sampled. This sampling procedure allowed for a minimal sampled microlayer thickness of 0.2–0.3 mm, depending on the microstructure, density and other parameters of the coating carbonate. In two of the thickest coatings (Göbekli Tepe) the middle and the outer laminae were also drilled out. Since the youngest coatings (Bratsky Most) were about 0.3 mm thick, the entire coating material was collected through milling the coating with a goldsmith drill. Similarly, the sample of the outer laminae, about 0.1 mm in thickness from ‘too old’ coatings on the top of a stone at Göbekli Tepe, was gathered through careful milling of the coating surface. The 14C ages and the δ13C values of the carbonate fraction were determined at the AMS facility at the Leibniz-Laboratory at the University of Kiel, Germany, and at the Ångström Laboratory of the University of Uppsala, Sweden. The carbonate fraction of samples was reacted with 0.5M HCl to release CO2, which was graphitized with a Fe-catalyst. The 14C ages were normalized to δ13C = –25‰. The δ13C values are affected by graphitization and therefore do not correspond directly to those determined with a mass-spectrometer. The measured ages were calibrated with the OxCal v3.5 program (Ramsey, 2001).

Results and discussion

The results of 14C dating and additional data are presented in Table 1. The coating thickness increases from the older to the younger sites, indicating progressive carbonate accumulation with time. The δ13C values of carbonate laminae, though influenced by graphitization, are characteristic of pedogenic carbonate in C3-dominated biomes (Cerling, 1984). The exceptionally high positive δ13C values for coating laminae from Tuva might reflect an enhanced concentration of an atmospheric CO2 component in soil air resulting from the early annual onset of negative temperatures (Cerling, 1984).

There are three main tendencies in the radiocarbon ages of the oldest coating laminae to be emphasized (Table 1, Figure 3, Figure 4a): (1) the calibrated 14C age-intervals for the oldest laminae of pedogenic carbonate coatings are systematically younger than the age constraints of corresponding archaeological sites with a high degree of correlation, (2) the laminae from the same sites show very similar 14C ages and (3) differences in climatic conditions and lithology of parent materials exert no pronounced influence on radiocarbon ages. These regularities can be best explained by the formation of pedogenic carbonate in carbon isotope equilibrium with soil CO2 and indirectly with atmospheric 14CO2. Although the contributions of radiometrically ‘too old’ inorganic carbon and diagenetic radiocarbon contamination to the final carbonate are unknown, it seems unlikely that the strong correlation between the radiocarbon ages of pedogenic carbonate and the age-constraints of cultural periods (Figure 4a) is a result of accidental combinations of 14C-depleted and 14C-enriched carbonate fractions.
Table 1 Radiocarbon ages of thin laminae of pedogenic carbonate coatings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site/country</th>
<th>Age constraints of cultural periods (cal. BP)</th>
<th>Depth of the sample below the soil surface (cm)</th>
<th>Lithology of clasts covered by pedogenic carbonate</th>
<th>Lamina dated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G&quot;obekli Tepe/ Turkey</td>
<td>11 750–10 250</td>
<td>100/5 limestone</td>
<td>innermost</td>
<td>9290 ± 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90/5 limestone</td>
<td>innermost</td>
<td>900 ± 30</td>
<td>12.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>115/5 limestone</td>
<td>innermost</td>
<td>8430 ± 80</td>
<td>11.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90/5 limestone</td>
<td>innermost</td>
<td>8995 ± 55</td>
<td>14.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>105/5 limestone</td>
<td>innermost</td>
<td>8960 ± 85</td>
<td>12.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>middle</td>
<td>5450 ± 35</td>
<td>11.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>outermost</td>
<td>4045 ± 35</td>
<td>14.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 250–9450</td>
<td>90/5 limestone</td>
<td>innermost</td>
<td>8440 ± 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>85/5 limestone</td>
<td>innermost</td>
<td>8625 ± 45</td>
<td>13.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>middle</td>
<td>4640 ± 30</td>
<td>12.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>outermost</td>
<td>3735 ± 30</td>
<td>10.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90/5 limestone</td>
<td>innermost</td>
<td>7180 ± 40</td>
<td>13.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>younger than 9450</td>
<td>100/3 limestone</td>
<td>innermost</td>
<td>6405 ± 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>outermost</td>
<td>10210 ± 50</td>
<td>7.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>sandstone</td>
<td>2905 ± 40</td>
<td>7.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>innermost</td>
<td>2625 ± 25</td>
<td>10.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ceramic shard</td>
<td>2815 ± 45</td>
<td>14.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>95/1.5 Tell Mozan/ Syria</td>
<td>younger than 3300</td>
<td>ceramic shard</td>
<td>2165 ± 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>sandstone</td>
<td>1830 ± 30</td>
<td>2.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>outermost</td>
<td>735 ± 40</td>
<td>6.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 500–950 Russia</td>
<td>40/0.3 Bratsky</td>
<td>sandstone</td>
<td>total coating carbonate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Calculated only for the innermost laminae of coatings from archaeological contexts with both oldest and youngest known age limits.

The limits 3000 and 2000 cal. bc were used for calculations.

The limits 900 and 1000 cal. AD were used for calculations.
Furthermore, additional observations lend support to the validity of the measured $^{14}$C ages. First, the oldest laminae in coatings on limestone from Göbekli Tepe showed a close agreement of dates that are younger than the site (Table 1, Figure 3). Although we cannot rule out that the minor variations in radiocarbon ages reflect some small mechanical admixtures of limestone carbonate, in general these dates demonstrate that radiocarbon ages are not effectively influenced by radiometrically dead inorganic carbon. Alternatively, the insignificant age variations can be explained by the dynamics of building activities at the site. Second, in two samples of coatings from the same site, the middle and the outermost laminae showed comparable and successively decreasing $^{14}$C ages (Table 1). The youngest laminae did not provide modern dates; however, they were in good agreement with each other, suggesting that pedogenic carbonate accumulation ceased...
at a certain time in the past. The reason for this is unknown, but it might have been associated with generally drier climatic conditions in the late Holocene compared with the early and the mid Holocene in the region (Roberts et al., 2001; Wick et al., 2003; Robinson et al., 2006 and references therein). We address the paleoenvironmental aspects of this question elsewhere (Pustovoytov et al., 2007).

Additionally, the radiocarbon age of the outermost laminae of the coatings covering the tops of stones from Göbekli Tepe is older than the age range of the site (Table 1). This attests to a relatively low level of potential diagenetic radiocarbon contamination, which clearly should be still weaker in the innermost laminae of coatings and on the stone undersides not exposed to direct attack of percolating soil solutions enriched in carbon dioxide.

As a whole, based on the above consideration, we conclude that the 14C ages of individual laminae of pedogenic carbonate coatings on clasts in this study closely approximate the time of their formation.

Quantifying the difference between the age-ranges of cultural periods and the measured radiocarbon ages of the oldest laminae of pedogenic carbonate is of theoretical and practical importance. For the mid-points of age-intervals for sites and laminae this value varied from 0.3 to 1.6 ka with a mean of 0.75 ka (Table 1). The latter might be overestimated because a relatively high number of dates were determined on coatings from the oldest site compared with younger sites. Given the correctness of the radiocarbon clock in pedogenic carbonate, the age differences of several hundred years between sites and lamina involve presumably two factors: (1) the time lag between the stabilization of the surface of the site and the beginning of carbonate accumulation on stones, and (2) the time necessary to form a carbonate microlayer 0.2–0.3 mm in thickness. The precise minimum time required for the start of pedogenic carbonate accumulation on stones remained uncertain in this study. However, this time obviously can be shorter than 1 ka because the stones from the youngest site (Bratsky Most) were already covered by appreciable secondary carbonate coatings on their undersides.

In addition, we also observed two weak, statistically insignificantly regularities. One was an increase in the difference between the site ages and the 14C ages of thin coating laminae with increasing site age (Table 1, Figure 4b). We have no ultimate explanation for this effect. The chronology of the PPNA time is not elaborated on as much as the younger periods considered in our study, and operates with comparatively long time intervals. If the stone constructions came into being at relatively late stages of the PPNA and PPNB periods, the mid-points of the age-contraints taken for calculation should indicate ages that are too old for the sites and thus increase the calculated age difference between the sites and the oldest coating laminae. Alternatively, the relationship between the age difference and the site chronology may reflect a more complex formation history in older coatings. For example, the coatings from Göbekli Tepe may have experienced some climatic instability in the early Holocene near East (see above). The second regularity was represented by the fact that the difference between the youngest limits of the site ages and the oldest limits of calibrated intervals for 14C ages of the coating lamina decreased with increasing site age (Table 1, Figure 4b). This may reflect slightly larger calibrated-age intervals for the older dates. It is notable that our measurements revealed a significantly lower age difference between the age-limits for parent materials and the 14C ages of the oldest coating laminae (of the order of 1 ka), compared with the data of Sharp et al. (2003), 5 ± 5 ka, obtained with the Th/U method. This fact is attributable to the following factors or their combinations: (1) larger uncertainties in estimation of the parent material ages, (2) formation of secondary carbonate studied by Th/U in Pleistocene environments that were presumably more variable than those of the Holocene, (3) more thick laminae sampled in the latter work (0.5 mm) than in the present study and (4) methodological differences between 14C and Th/U dating techniques.

**Conclusions and implications for geological and archaeological work**

The central finding of this study is that pedogenic carbonate coatings, if thin-layer sampled, can be accurately dated with 14C. We suggest that it may have some important implications for geo-sciences. First, radiocarbon dates on the oldest thin laminae of coatings can indicate – at least for the Holocene – well-approximated minimum ages of soils or deposits. In addition to previous research (Amundson et al., 1994; Wang et al., 1996), our data specify the difference between mean deposit ages and mean 14C ages of the oldest pedogenic carbonate lamina, which can range from 0.3 to 1.6 ka. Second, a set of 14C ages from a succession of coating laminae may reflect the dynamics of carbonate accumulation rates, which in turn is of importance to understanding the formation of Bk horizons and the changes of the carbon sink in arid ecosystems. Third, dating individual coating laminae can contribute to enhancing the resolution of palaeoenvironmental records based on pedogenic carbonate (primarily stable isotopic fingerprints). Also, archaeologists can benefit from dating secondary carbonate accumulations in cases where other chronological indicators (such as ceramics, texts or common datable materials such as charcoal, bone, etc.) are lacking.

Several limitations of our data have to be emphasized. (1) Since our results are restricted to the Holocene, further investigations are required to test the validity of radiocarbon ages of late-Pleistocene pedogenic carbonates. (2) Although the resolution of chronological control in the study objects was higher than in previous works, it was still not high enough to precisely detect the time-lag between the start of pedogenesis and the formation of first portions of pedogenic carbonate on clasts. For this purpose, as well as for examining the contributions of radiometrically old and modern fractions of inorganic carbon, more detailed chronosequences of archaeological sites, including those younger than 1 ka old, should be explored. (3) We studied samples from one depth interval of soil profiles, whereas the radiocarbon content in pedogenic carbonate may appreciably vary throughout a soil profile, usually showing a decrease of 14C with depth (Chen et al., 1986; Amundson et al., 1994; Pendall et al., 1994; Wang et al., 1996). Pedogenic carbonate coatings from greater depths should therefore be more suitable for minimum age estimations for soils or deposits. It should be taken into account that in natural sediments and soils and in artificial stone constructions, there are unknown admixtures of coatings that began their formation prior to redeposition or becoming part of a construction. Therefore, if trying to assess a minimum age of a deposit, a soil or a site, care should be taken to avoid coatings in the field that are too old by analysing the distribution of their thickness in a profile. Furthermore, several samples of the oldest lamina should be analysed to clear up the variance and to reduce the risk of dating specimens that are too old.
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